

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,104	08/26/2005	Gilles Orange	INNO-129	5314
21832	7590 01/11/2008		EXAMINER	
MCCARTER CITYPLACE	& ENGLISH LLP I		SZEKELY, PETER A	
185 ASYLUM STREET HARTFORD, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HARTFORD,	C1 00103		1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/11/2008	PAPER
			MAIL DATE 01/11/2008	DELIVERY MODE

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	-		
Office Action Summary		10/518,104	ORANGE ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit	_		
		Peter Szekely	1796			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Donsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Depend for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a. cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 D This action is FINAL . 2b) This	ecember 2004. s action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-30 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	tion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) according a continuous and a con	cepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abey stion is required if the drawing.	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in ority documents have been nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stage			
2) Not 3) Info	nt(s) ice of References Cited (PTO-892) ice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) ormation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) iver No(s)/Mail Date 12/10/04.	Paper N	w Summary (PTO-413) lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application 			

Application/Control Number: 10/518,104

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 2. Claims 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There is no description of how the emulsion is broken, when the asphalt emulsion is completely covered by a layer of aggregate. One of ordinary skill in the art would have to undertake undue experimentation in order to find out how this can be done. See In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 Fed. Cir. 1988).
- 3. Claims 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a method of preparing cold mixes using a styrene-butadiene copolymer of the claimed particle size, does not reasonably provide enablement for preparing cold mixes using any polymer having any particle size. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make or use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims. One of ordinary skill in the art would have to undertake undue experimentation in order to find the right polymer and the right particle size. See In re Wands, 8 USPQ2d 1400, 1404 Fed. Cir. 1988).

Application/Control Number: 10/518,104

Art Unit: 1796

4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 1-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 6. The definition of "sufficient" as causing "substantial" improvement substitutes one indefinite definition with another in claims 1-30.
- 7. In claim 3, the word "monomer" should be a plural.
- 8. Claims 2, 4, 5, 12, 14, 16, 21 and 22 contain improper Markush language. When the last conjunction is "and", the proper Markush language is "selected from the group consisting of". Always. Without exception.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mukaida et al. 5,435,842.
- 11. Mukaida et al. disclose asphalt in claim 1, synthetic resin powder in claim 4 and 10-150 micron particle size in column 8, lines 42-45. Applicants' claims are not novel.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/518,104

Art Unit: 1796

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 12. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 13. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- 14. Claims 1-3 and 24-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Asahi Chemical Co., Ltd. JP-01-287170, in view of Sack et al. 6,143,808.
- 15. Asahi Chemical teaches styrene-butadiene elastomer having a particle size of 0.01-1 mm and asphalt kneaded together at 170-220° C. Sack et al. reveal adding filler to the mix in Example 1. Filler is fine aggregate. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to add the fillers (aggregate) to the asphalt/polymer blend in order to cover the cost and to optimize the physical properties of the blend by selecting the optimum particle size. See In re Boesch, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Application/Control Number: 10/518,104

Art Unit: 1796

16. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:10 a.m.-4:40 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 5715272-1000.

Peter Szekely Primary Examiner Art Unit 1796

P.S. 1/3/08